Neural Approximate Sufficient Statistics for Implicit Models

Yanzhi Chen^{*1}, Dinghuai Zhang^{*2}, Michael Gutmann¹, Aaron Courville², Zhanxing Zhu³

¹The University of Edinburgh, ²MILA, ³Beijing Institute of Big Data Research



• Background

Method

Related works

• Results

Background

Implicit statistical models

defined by the *data generating process* rather than the *likelihood function*^[1]

$$\mathbf{x} \sim \underbrace{p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta})}_{?} \Leftrightarrow g_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\epsilon), \epsilon \sim p(\epsilon)$$

Examples

SIR model (epidemiology), Ricker's model (ecology), g-and-k model (finance)

Background

Likelihood-free inference



1.sample $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}_i, \boldsymbol{\theta}_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\mathbf{x}_i \sim p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}_i), \boldsymbol{\theta}_i \sim \pi(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ 2.learn $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x})$ on D with e.g. ABC^[2], NDE^[3,4]



problem: high-dimensional density estimation is difficult

Overview

1) first find a *low-dim*, *near-sufficient* statistics $s(\cdot)$

$$\mathbf{s} = s(\mathbf{x})$$

2) infer the posterior with **s**

 $p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}_o) \approx p(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{s}_o)$



learning $s(\cdot)$ may not require the estimation of density or density ratio

Main idea



we can maximize any non-KL proxy^[5,6,7] of MI that has better properties

Distance correlation (DC)^[5] proxy:

$$\max_{S} \mathcal{L}(S) = \frac{\mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}', \mathbf{x}')}^{2} [h(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}')h(S(\mathbf{x}), S(\mathbf{x}'))]}{\mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\boldsymbol{\theta}')} [h^{2}(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}')] \cdot \mathbb{E}_{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}')} [h^{2}(S(\mathbf{x}), S(\mathbf{x}'))]}$$

h is some 'centered' distance function

Jenson-Shannon divergence (JSD)^[6] proxy:

$$\max_{S,T} \mathcal{L}(S,T) = \mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta},\mathbf{x})} \left[-\sup\left(-T(\boldsymbol{\theta};S(\mathbf{x}))\right) \right] - \mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta})p(\mathbf{x})} \left[\sup\left(T(\boldsymbol{\theta};S(\mathbf{x}))\right) \right]$$

sp = softplus function





Dynamic sufficient statistics learning

learn the statistics and posterior *iteratively*



Related works

Related works

parameter-prediction-as-statistics^[8] $s = \underset{S:\mathcal{X}\to\mathcal{S}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \quad \mathbb{E}_{p(\boldsymbol{\theta},\mathbf{x})}[\|S(\mathbf{x}) - \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{2}^{2}],$ we prove it is (generally) not sufficient

score-as-satistics^[9]

$$s = \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\mathbf{x}|\boldsymbol{\theta}) \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^*}$$

only *locally* sufficient around $heta^*$

Results



applying to existing LFI algorithms: SMC-ABC^[2],SNL^[4]

x-axis: learning rounds

y-axis: JSD(true P, learned P)

Contribution

• For likelihood-free inference

new method for learning sufficient statistics based on infomax principle

• For representation learning

establish a link between representation learning and Bayesian inference

Reference

[1]. Monte Carlo methods of inference for implicit statistical models, JRSS B 1984

[2]. Adaptive Approximate Bayesian Computation, Biometrika 09

[3]. Fast epsilon-free Inference of Simulation Models with Bayesian Conditional Density Estimation, Neurips 16

[4]. Sequential Neural Likelihood, AISTATS 19

[5]. Partial distance correlation with methods for dissimilarities, Annals of Statistics 14

[6]. Learning deep representations by mutual information estimation and maximization, ICLR 19

[7]. Wasserstein dependency measure for representation learning, Neurips 19

[8]. Constructing summary statistics for ABC, JRSS B 09

[9]. Mining gold from implicit models to improve likelihood-free inference, PNAS 20